<u>REMARKS</u>

This Amendment follows a Response to the Final Office, filed April 22, 2010 (hereinafter,

"Response"), an Advisory Action, mailed May 4, 2010, and Interviews on May 21, 2010 and July

15, 2010, the substance of which is set forth below. Claims 1-36 are pending in this application.

Claims 5, 8, 25, and 28-33 are canceled without prejudice or disclaimer herein. Claims 1, 2, and 34-

36 are amended herein, support for which can be found in the published application at, for example,

paragraphs [0018]-[0020]. Applicants submit that no new matter is introduced.

Statement on Substance of Interview

A first Interview was conducted telephonically on May 21, 2010, between Examiner

Jacqueline Woznicki, Supervisor Davis Isabella and the undersigned. Initially, the objection to the

claims and rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph were discussed, resulting in

acceptance of the claim language. Next, the claimed invention was described, including the feature

of electrically-conductive closed loops. Examples from the specification were discussed, including

closed loops of the equal area lobes located on opposite sides of the implant, and the cited art was

distinguished. The first Interview concluded with a suggestion by the Examiner to add structure to

independent claim 1. The second Interview was conducted telephonically on June 15, 2010,

between Examiner Woznicki and the undersigned. Structural features for the claimed implant were

proposed and discussed. The claim amendments presented herein are believed to place the case in

condition for allowance, based on the Interviews.

The outstanding objection to the drawings, objection to the claims, and rejection under 35

U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, were discussed in detail in the Response, and further in the first

Interview, as set forth above. The comments from the Response are incorporated by reference

herein. In view thereof, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and withdrawal

of the objections and rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

The rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103 were traversed and discussed in the

Response and first Interview. The comments from the Response are incorporated by reference

6

Application No. 10/585,722 Docket No.: 568-PDD-03-13-US-[58P]

Amendment dated June 17, 2010

After Final Office Action of February 22, 2010

herein. In view thereof, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and withdrawal

of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103.

Applicants believe that all outstanding issues have been overcome, and that therefore the

pending application is in condition for allowance.

This response is filed with a RCE and fee of \$810, as well as a one-month extension of time

fee of \$130. If further fees are due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-2191, under Order

No. 101671.0058P from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: June 17, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Todd W. Wight/

Todd W. Wight

Registration No.: 45,218

RUTAN & TUCKER LLP

611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400

Costa Mesa, California 92626

(714) 641-5100

Patents@Rutan.com

7